

## 004: What type of person makes the best political leader?

- Fame
- Financial power
- Communication skills
- Knowledge
- Goals
- Professionalism

There are many types of political leaders, some good, some bad. Recently, some politician made a controversial statement, and was forced to quit within a week after taking office. In contrast, there are three types of person to make the best political leader as follows.

First, good communication skills make the best political leader. This is because communication skills are important to make strong appeals to public. For example, John F. Kennedy had great success in appealing to the public in his inaugural speech with his communication skills.

Next, Knowledge also contributes to becoming the best political leader. This is because the best political leader can think rationally about domestic or international issues to use knowledge. For instance, Margaret H. Thatcher was a chemical researcher before having become a politician. She might have dealt with various issues because of her knowledge about science.

Last, the best political leader should never give up achieving their goals. To give an example, Nelson R. Mandela had a goal to bring an end to apartheid, and finally, he made a success to eradicate apartheid.

In conclusion, I think these types of person make the best political leader to appeal to public and have ability to deal with difficult problems.

(226 words)

Comments: Overall, solid ideas in this essay. I want to give you two short points on what to focus on in the next essay.

One is grammar, keep it simple. There were a couple errors that made it hard to understand your thinking. Also, do not use modifiers that weaken your point. When you say 'could do X' or 'might do X' it makes it sound as if either you are unsure or that your argument is not always right. For this essay, since the focus is less on being correct and more on being convincing, you should write as strongly as possible.

Second, I can see you really tried hard with examples in this essay, which is great. I love that you had specific examples for each point, which makes your argument

削除: the

削除: . In fact, they have some demerits.

削除: For example

コメント [H1]: An example writing this...

削除: However, I

削除: would like to suggest that

コメント [H2]: Don't use 'could' or 'might'...

削除: could

コメント [H3]: Don't be too obvious, by...

削除: a speech and a

コメント [H4]: Really? What success? Be...

削除: made a

削除: to

削除: by

削除: prominent

削除: can

削除: e

コメント [H5]: Grammar is incorrect...

コメント [H6]: Really? What issues? I...

コメント [H7]: Do politicians often not...

削除: could also have goals. The leader

コメント [H8]: How long did it take? How...

コメント [H9]: You should never waste...

004: What type of person makes the best political leader?

- Fame
- Financial power
- Communication skills
- Knowledge
- Goals
- Professionalism

much stronger. The problem was, however, that while you had specific examples in mind, you didn't concretely connect them back to your overall point. For the first two examples it was clear how the person embodied the trait you were talking about, but not concretely clear how it made them a good politician. For the final example, you showed how he was a good politician, but not how he embodied the trait of having goals. If you can fix that, it will go a long way towards making your essays completely convincing. That is what I want you to focus on in the next essay!